Subject Selection and Recruitment

David Wendler
Department of Clinical Bioethics
NIH, USA

Disclaimer

The opinions expressed are the author's own. They do not reflect any position or policy of the National Institutes of Health, Public Health Service, or Department of Health and Human Services.

Goals

Selection and Recruitment should:

- 1. Distribute burdens and benefits fairly
- 2. Ensure social value of research
- 3. Enhance scientific validity
- 4. Minimize risks to subjects
- 5. Maximize benefits to subjects
- 6. Protect the vulnerable

Potential Conflicts

In some cases, these different goals may conflict.

For instance, minimizing risks to subjects may decrease the social value of the research.

Tradeoffs

In cases of conflict, investigators, ethics review committees, and sponsors must "balance" the competing goals.

Subject Selection

 Subject selection involves determining which subjects may enroll in the research.

 Subject selection is determined by inclusion/exclusion criteria.

Research as a Benefit

 Exclusion without a good reason may be unfair or discriminatory.

People are clamoring for access to clinical trials...demanding they, and others like them, are owed such as a matter of justice. (Levine, 1994)

Fairness

To ensure fairness, begin by assuming everyone is eligible.

Exclude individuals from this pool only with good reason.

Priority of Science

The scientific goals of the study should be the *primary* consideration in determining who can enroll.

This involves ensuring the value of the study and enhancing its validity.

Ensuring Value

- Exclude individuals not suitable for answering the scientific question.
- For instance, individuals with conditions that make it impossible to assess the drug being tested (e.g. brain tumors).

Enhancing Validity

 Exclude individuals who cannot satisfy the protocol requirements.

 For instance, subjects who cannot (or do not) make the required clinic visits.

Minimize Physical Risks

 Exclude individuals who would face significantly higher risks.

For instance, individuals with poor kidney function in a phase II study of a drug with renal clearance.

Maximize Benefits

 Select subjects who are more likely to benefit from participation.

 For instance, a study of a new anti-HIV drug may focus on individuals with low CD4 counts.

Protecting the Vulnerable

- ◆ There is an order of preference in selecting subjects, for instance, adults before children. (Belmont Report)
- ◆ Exclude vulnerable subjects unless their participation is needed for scientific reasons. (CLOMS)

Address Vulnerability First

- ◆ In some cases, it is possible to address individuals' vulnerability without having to exclude them.
- For instance, individuals who do not understand English are vulnerable, but this vulnerability can be addressed by provision of translators and translated documents.

Subjects Who Can't Consent

- Exclude individuals unable to consent, unless their participation is necessary to answer the scientific question posed.
- For instance, exclude individuals with severe Alzheimer's Disease from early phase malaria studies.

Scope of "Necessity" Requirement

Should subjects who face significantly higher risks, and subjects who cannot consent be excluded from trials that offer important potential medical benefit?

The Justification?

In some cases, enrollment may be in 'riskier' subjects' best interests.

Thus, excluding these subjects cannot be justified on the grounds it protects them.

Research vs. Clinical Care

 It is important to distinguish research from clinical care.

 Excluding 'riskier' subjects minimizes the aggregate risks of research.

Applies to physical and moral risks.

Additional Safeguards

 Informed consent is a primary research safeguard.

Hence, when enrollment of subjects unable to consent is necessary, the study should include additional safeguards.

Sufficient Evidence

Adults unable to consent should be enrolled only with sufficient evidence that it is consistent with their preferences and interests.

Some commentators require this evidence to be documented in a formal advance directive.

Surrogates

 Subjects unable to consent should be enrolled only with the permission of an appropriate surrogate.

Are health care surrogates sufficient for research purposes?

Subject Recruitment

Subject recruitment involves active attempts to enroll specific individuals or groups within the pool of eligible subjects.

Finding the Right Community

In many cases, the choice of communities from which to recruit is determined by institution location.

Selecting a Community

In some cases, investigators have a choice of possible communities.

In these cases, the principles of subject recruitment apply in deciding which community to select.

Goals of Selection and Recruitment

- 1. Distribute burdens and benefits fairly
- 2. Ensure social value
- 3. Enhance scientific validity
- 4. Minimize harm
- 5. Maximize benefit
- 6. Protect the vulnerable

Declaration of Helsinki -2000

Medical research is only justified if there is a reasonable likelihood the populations in which the research is carried out stand to benefit from the results of the research.

Social Value/Community Benefit

To what extent must communities benefit from research involvement?

To what extent must the community benefit from the research results?

Community vs. Individual Benefit

Should the requirement of benefit be added to the conditions on selection of individual subjects?

Recruitment

- Targeted recruitment
- Inviting referrals from colleagues
- Advertising
- Inviting one's own patients

Recruitment for good reasons

- Do not focus recruitment on individuals who are (or appear to be?) vulnerable
- Ensure subjects are recruited for reasons of science, not compromised position (Belmont Report).

Incentives to Enroll Subjects

- Investigators are under considerable pressure to recruit subjects, sometimes receiving financial incentives. (US Inspector General 2000)
- Physicians receive payments for referring their patients to trials.

Concerns about Incentives

To what extent do incentives to refer patients pose a conflict of interest?

To what extent might use of incentives encourage investigators to enroll riskier/inappropriate subjects?

Advertising

What effect does advertising have on recruitment?

Does advertising affect consent?

May benefits be advertised?

Must risks be advertised?

IRBs and Advertising

- "The IRB should review the methods and material that investigators propose to use to recruit subjects."
- Ads should not claim that investigational interventions are safe or effective.
- IRB should evaluate the "relative size of type used and other visual effects."

Ads in Real Life: Bar Coaster

Research Subjects Wanted

Earn \$50-\$1295

Call 555-5555

Christine's Research Institute

Proposed T.V. Ad

- Thumping music; Tie-dye colors slowly rotating on screen.
- ♦ VOICE OVER: Attention Ecstasy Users...If you are currently using the drug Ecstasy you are a candidate for a new research study being done at Dave's Institute.
- We are enrolling men and women, eighteen to forty, to study the effects of Ecstasy on the brain.
- This study pays up to 3500 dollars and includes room and board in our dorm-like facility. Call today.

Effect of Ads

Do advertisements affect what groups enroll.

Does it affect understanding? Does it affect motivtations (does that matter?)

Payment

What role should payment play in recruiting research subjects?

Is it acceptable to advertise payment?